Conclusions Concerning Review of Hydrology Data for Recreation Impacts (see attached tables 1 and 2)

Reservoirs

- Generalizing results is difficult results differ substantially by reservoir
- At Lake Oroville, the No Storage options under Alternatives 2 and 3 would severely impact recreation quality conditions at Lake Oroville (under the no storage options, the frequency in which undesirable lake level conditions for recreation during the peak season [May-September] would increase substantially compared to No Action conditions)
- At San Luis, recreation quality conditions would improve substantially under Alternative 3
- At Lake Don Pedro, the alternatives appear to have little or no affect on recreation quality conditions
- At Shasta Lake, Alternative 3 (all cases) would have a substantially negative effect on recreation quality conditions (the number of months in which undesirable lake level conditions for recreation would increase from about 7% of the time to between 11to15% of the peak summer months)
- From a regional perspective, Sacto Valley appears to be a net loser and San Joaquin Valley a
 net winner; the addition of recreation capacity at a new storage facility would offset some of
 the impacts at existing reservoirs; locally-significant impacts (around the existing reservoirs)
 would still occur, but could be offset by locating the new reservoir nearby (especially near
 Lake Oroville)

Rivers

(still need data [graphics with monthly data] from Param for Cases 706, 715, 709, and 717 [with storage cases] for all affected sites)

- For Sacramento River, substantial increase in flows in August, which is an important month for recreation; however, unlikely to affect recreation quality conditions because a wide range in flow conditions supports recreation activities (see support data for Table 1)
- For Feather River substantial increase in flows in June and July under No Storage conditions and substantial decrease in August and September implications for recreation quality unknown at this time
- For American River, moderate decreases in flows in June under No Storage conditions would be more than offset by increases (beneficial impacts) on flows occurring in July and August

No monthly data has been provided as yet for the San Joaquin River.

TABLE 2. Comparison of Average Monthly Values

Average Monthly Makes (Lake Elevation and TAF River Releases)										
Shasta M	IAY J	IUNE JU	ILY AL	JG SE	PT	No Action Co Alternative R			nditions	
50 674	1044	1034	1016	1000	993	Vifeti Ignae 17	ciative to iv	O-MOUOII		
675	1044	1034	1016	1000	992		0.10%	0.00%	0.00%	
703	1044	1034	1015	998	991	0.00%	-0.10%	-0.10%	-0.20%	-0.10%
700 714	1040	1031	1011	990	983	-0.38%	-0.39%	-0.49%	-1.00%	-0.91%
708	1038	1029	1009	987	979	-0.57%	-0.58%	-0.69%	-1.30%	-1.31%
700 716	1037	1029	1003	985	977	-0.67%	-0.68%	-0.89%	-1.50%	-1.51%
710	1001	1020	1001	300	3/1	-0.07 70	-0.00 N	-0.00 N	1,0070	1.0170
Oroville MAY JUNE JULY AUG SEPT										
674	ິ່ 860 ິ	846	821	792	785					
675	857	842	814	781	772	.0.35%	-0.47%	-0.85%	-1,39%	-1.66%
703	856	842	811	773	766	-0.12%	0.00%	-0.37%	-1.02%	-0.78%
703 714	845	817	773	747	744	-1.40%	-2.97%	-5.04%	-4.35%	-3.63%
708	842	811	772	745	741	-1.75%	-3.68%	-5.16%	-4.61%	-4.02%
705 716	841	811	772	745	740	-1.87%	-3.68%	-5.16%	-4.61%	-4.15%
710	041	011	112	740	740	-1.07 /0	-J.00 N	~~100	-1.01 N	-4.1070
Folsom M	MAY .	JUNE JL	JLY AL	JG SE	PT					
674	443	435	424	416	410					
67 5	442	434	423	414	408		-0.23%	-0.24%	-0.48%	-0.49%
703	442	434	423	412	406	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	-0.48%	-0.49%
700 714	441	434	420	406	402	-0.23%	0.00%	-0.71%	-1.93%	-1.47%
708	440	433	419	404	398	-0.45%	-0.23%	-0.95%	-2.42%	-2.45%
706 716	439	432	418	403	396	-0.68%	-0.46%	-1.18%	-2.66%	-2.94%
710	4.5	402.	410	400	330	-0.00 %	-010 W	-1.10%	-2.00 A	2.5470
San Luis M	MAY .	JUNE JL	JLY A	UG SE	PT					
	493	453	424	402	410					
674				394	399	1,62%	0.66%	-1,89%	-1.99%	-2,68%
675	485	456 459	416	400	404	1.44%	0.44%	0.48%	1.52%	1.25%
703	492	458	418				9,43%	13.94%	12.18%	10.28%
714	513	499 504	474	442	440	5.77% 5.98%	10.53%	14.18%	12.18%	10.28%
708 746	514	504 504	475	442	440	5.96% 5.96%	10.53%	14.18%	12.18%	
716	514	504	475	442	440	J.9076	10,0070	14.1070	12.1076	10.20%
New Don F MAY JUNE JULY AUG SEPT										
674	782	792	⊂ີ 783 [∩]	769	760					
675	779	789	779	765	755	238%	-0.38%	-0.51%	-0.52%	-0.66%
703	779	789	780	765	755	0.00%	0.00%	0.13%	0.00%	0.00%
714	779	789	780	765	75 5	0.00%	0.00%	0.13%	0.00%	0.00%
708	779	789	780	765	755	0.00%	0.00%	0.13%	0.00%	0.00%
716	779	789	780	765	755	0.00%	0.00%	0.13%	0.00%	0.00%
710	110	,	,,,,			0.5570	0.0070	0.1010	5,55,15	5.5515
Sacto Rive MAY JUNE JULY AUG SEPT										
674	438	388	Ĺ 405 Ĥ	313	382					
6 7 5	428	372	394	306	362		-412%	-2.72%	-2.24%	-5.24%
703	425	365	399	321	356	-0.70%	-1.88%	1,27%	4.90%	-1.66%
714	421	353	409	354	353		-5.11%	3.81%	15.69%	-2.49%
708	419	354	410	376	358	-2.10%	-4.84%	4.06%	22.88%	-1.10%
706 716	417	355	411	387	364	-2.57%		4.31%	26.47%	0.55%
710	417		711	307	554	-2.07 N	1.07 70	1.0170		0.0070
Feether Rit A	<i>Ι</i> Δ Υ	JUNE JI	IIV A	ug si	-PT					
674	217	262	283	294	 115					
675	217	260	317	335	116	COOK	-0.76%	12.01%	13.95%	0.87%
703	216	247	363	370	110	-0.46%	-5.00%	14.51%		
714	217	417	437	215	69	0.00%	60.38%	37.85%	-35.82%	-40.52%
708	216	453	379	217	79	-0.46%	74.23%	19.56%	-35.22%	-31.90%
716	216	448	378	223	84	-0.46%	72.31%	19 24%	-33,43%	-27.59%
710	210		310		5,	J. 10 M			,	
American I A	MAY .	JUNE JU	JLY A	ug si	EPT					
674	222	218	152	132	128					
675	216	206	139	116	113	270%	-5.50%	8.55%	-12.12%	-11,72%
703	216	201	141	126	108	0.00%	-2.43%	1.44%	8,62%	-4.42%
700 714	217	194	150	143	106	0.46%	-5.83%	791%	23 28%	-6.19%
708	216	194	153	154	116	0.00%	-5.83%	10.07%	32.76%	2.65%
716	214	193	153	157	116	-0.93%	-6.31%	10.07%	35.34%	2.65%
7.13					- · -	2.22.19				